

Probabilistic Bisimilarity as Testing Equivalence

Yuxin Deng^{a,*}, Yuan Feng^b

^a*Shanghai Key Laboratory of Trustworthy Computing,
MOE International Joint Lab of Trustworthy Software,
and International Research Center of Trustworthy Software,
East China Normal University*

^b*University of Technology Sydney, Australia*

Abstract

Larsen and Skou initiated the study of probabilistic bisimilarity and its characterisation in terms of tests. Later on, van Breugel et al. showed that, for labelled Markov processes with continuous state spaces, probabilistic bisimilarity nicely coincides with a simple notion of testing equivalence. Their proof employs advanced machinery from topology. In the discrete case of finite-state reactive probabilistic processes, we prove that coincidence result with an elementary and more accessible proof.

Keywords: Probabilistic processes, bisimilarity, Testing equivalence, Modal logic

1. Introduction

Bisimulation [17, 18] is a central concept in concurrency theory. Bisimilarity, the largest bisimulation, admits beautiful characterisations in terms of fixed points, modal logics, coalgebras, games, algorithms, pseudometrics, etc. Its generalisation in the probabilistic setting is initiated by Larsen and Skou in [16] and has subsequently been widely investigated in probabilistic concurrency theory. Various characterisations of probabilistic bisimilarity by probabilistic extensions of Hennessy-Milner logic [11] have appeared in the literature [16, 8, 9, 19, 4, 12, 10, 7, 3]. Most characterisations employ some modalities indexed with numbers. A typical modal formula, dated back to [16], is hai_p , where p is a probability value. A state s satisfies this formula if the probability that s can make an a -labelled transition to the set of states satisfying i exceeds p . For reactive probabilistic processes [16, 22] with a minimal probability assumption, it is also shown in [16] that probabilistic bisimilarity can be characterised by a very simple testing framework. Two remarkable features of this framework are the *absence* of any modality indexed with numbers and the arithmetic interpretation

Corresponding author

Email addresses: yxdeng@sei.ecnu.edu.cn (Yuxin Deng), Yuan.Feng@uts.edu.au (Yuan Feng)

of conjunction as multiplication. To prove the testing characterisation result, a notion of observation is introduced, every test is associated with a set of observations, and a testing equivalence is defined for states as the equality of probabilities on these observations. Furthermore, the coincidence proof of probabilistic bisimilarity with the testing equivalence crucially relies on the modal characterisation of probabilistic bisimilarity by a variant of Hennessy-Milner logic. In [21] van Breugel et al. avoid observations and directly define a testing equivalence for states as the equality of probabilities on tests. They generalise the testing characterisation of [16] to labelled Markov processes, i.e. reactive probabilistic processes [16, 22] with continuous state spaces, and surprisingly, without going through any modal logic. Usually, the simpler the logical or testing characterisation, the more difficult the completeness proof, since constructing distinguishing formulae or tests for non-bisimilar states with fewer modalities is more challenging. Van Breugel et al. prove such an elegant result by using advanced machinery such as the Lawson topology on probabilistic powerdomains [13] and Banach algebras. However, if we confine ourselves to discrete rather than continuous state spaces, as in e.g. [16], it is still unclear how to give a more direct and much simpler proof of the coincidence result given in [21], though intuitively it should be possible. The current work aims to provide a clear answer to this question. We consider finite-state reactive probabilistic processes and give an elementary proof of the coincidence of bisimilarity with the aforementioned testing equivalence while avoiding all the advanced machinery used in [21]. Our arguments only involve simple probability theory, ranks of matrices, and induction. It is worth mentioning that our proof is also constructive.

In the current work we focus on reactive probabilistic processes. Testing equivalences for other models have received a lot of attention. For example, Kwiatkowska and Norman [15] have generalised the testing framework of [16] to probabilistic systems with external and internal choice. Cleaveland et al. [1] have generalised the testing theory of [2] to generative probabilistic processes. Jonsson and Wang [14] have generalised [2] to nondeterministic probabilistic processes, which is further developed in [20, 6, 5, 3]. For the moment, we are not clear if our p8(e)q-

